

LINDA LINGLE
GOVERNOR OF HAWAII



ALLAN A. SMITH
INTERIM CHAIRPERSON
BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

KEN C. KAWAHARA
DEPUTY DIRECTOR - WATER

AQUATIC RESOURCES
BOATING AND OCEAN RECREATION
BUREAU OF CONVEYANCES
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
CONSERVATION AND COASTAL LANDS
CONSERVATION AND RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT
ENGINEERING
FORESTRY AND WILDLIFE
HISTORIC PRESERVATION
KAHOOLAWE ISLAND RESERVE COMMISSION
LAND
STATE PARKS

**STATE OF HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES**

STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION DIVISION
601 KAMOKILA BOULEVARD, ROOM 555
KAPOLEI, HAWAII 96707

**MINUTES
HAWAII ISLAND BURIAL COUNCIL**

**DATE: THURSDAY, JULY 19, 2007
TIME: 10:00A.M.
PLACE: NATURAL ENERGY LABORATORY HAWAII AUTHORITY
73-4660 QUEEN KAAHUMANU HIGHWAY
KAILUA-KONA, HAWAII 96740**

ATTENDANCE:

Members: Dutchie Saffrey
Ronald Dela Cruz
Leningrad Elarionoff
Winifred Pele Hanoa
Roy Helbush
Melvyn Kaleo Kualii
Cynthia Nazara

Absent: Anna Cariaga
Ku Kahakalau
Charles Young, Chair
Ululani Keliikoa-Sherlock, Vice-Chair

Staff: Hinano Rodrigues, Cultural Historian
Rowena Somerville, Deputy Attorney General

Guest: Alan Haun
Greg Johnson
Lily Kong
Arthur Mahi
Joe Root
Gregory Chun

Byron Moku
Joe Spencer
Curtis Tyler
Kapua Kane
Kaimi Judd

I. OPENING REMARKS

[Due to the absence of the HIBC chair and vice-chair] a motion was made to nominate HIBC member (Leningrad) Elarionoff (Elarionoff) to chair the meeting.

VOTE: ALL IN FAVOR.

Elarionoff called the meeting to order. HIBC members, SHPD staff and the deputy attorney general (AG) introduced themselves. Pele Hanoa (Hanoa) gave the *pule wehe*.

II. APPROVAL OF THE APRIL 19, 2007 AND JUNE 21, 2007 HIBC MEETING MINUTES.

A motion was made to approve the minutes of April 19, 2007.

Hanoa wanted to know the reason for delaying approval of the April 19, 2007 minutes. Hanoa wanted to know why the council did not have the minutes of June 21, 2007 HIBC meeting. Hinano Rodrigues (Rodrigues) said SHPD was short staffed. Rodrigues said existing employees had to assume the responsibilities of former SHPD staff for Hawaii Island. Rodrigues said it was difficult handling the workload of multiple islands and apologized on behalf of SHPD to the council for delays and inconveniences staffing shortages caused.

A council member wanted to know what efforts were being made to fill vacancies within the department for Hawaii Island and when the vacancies were expected to be filled. There were concerns by descendants about *iwi* that had been stored at SHPD's former office and the current whereabouts of the *iwi*. The council member asked that the concerns expressed be taken back to the department to be addressed.

A council member requested Rodrigues be flown to Hawaii Island the night before a council meeting in order to start the meeting earlier. The council member said the state had the responsibility of serving the people even if it meant flying representative(s) in a day earlier.

Rodrigues said he had requested to be flown in a day earlier but his request had been denied.

Elarionoff said the NELHA conference room needed to be vacated by 4:00pm. Elarionoff said it was important that SHPD staff is able to attend HIBC meetings earlier because the council only had a limited amount of hours to use the conference room.

The council wanted to know why item D which had been deferred at the June 21, 2007 meeting was not put on the current agenda for discussion. Rodrigues said he placed item D on the current agenda. Rodrigues said he sent the HIBC agenda to Oahu for review and approval. Rodrigues said SHPD staff on Oahu deleted the deferred agenda item.

Curtis Tyler (Tyler) wanted to know when the HIBC was provided with the minutes from the April and June meetings. Elarionoff said he received the April minutes on July 18, 2007. Hanoa said the council did not have the minutes for the month of June. Tyler requested a copy from SHPD of the minutes for the April 19, 2007 meeting. Tyler said the minutes of July 20, 2006 were still unavailable to the public which was a violation of the Sunshine law. Tyler felt SHPD refused to make the minutes of the July 20, 2006 meeting public because of controversial issues that were discussed. Tyler requested a copy of the minutes from the July 20, 2006 meeting. Tyler urged the council to demand SHPD provide the missing minutes of previous meetings. Tyler was also concerned item D from last month's HIBC meeting was not put on the current agenda for discussion. Tyler suggested the council consult with the AG to ensure SHPD complies with the applicable Sunshine laws.

Elarionoff asked deputy AG Rowena Somerville (Somerville) if she would follow up on the concerns expressed. Somerville answered yes.

Rodrigues asked for clarification on statements made by Kaleo Kualii (Kualii). Kualii thought SHPD was required by Sunshine law to provide the public with information requested or have the information readily available at meetings. Kualii wanted meetings to be conducted properly and did not want violations to continue. Kualii expressed his preference for verbatim minutes. Kualii said the past minutes were not verbatim and inaccurately reflected the views and statements of the council.

A council member expressed her concerns and agreed there were problems that SHPD needed to work out as a division.

Tyler reiterated his request for past HIBC minutes. Tyler did not think the council could make a motion to approve minutes that were not available to the public. Somerville thought minutes became public and were posted on the DLNR/SHPD webpage after review and approval by the burial council. Tyler said the public had the right to access copies of draft minutes. Tyler said the public needed to be afforded the same opportunity to review and amend draft versions of minutes just as anyone else. Somerville let the decision to approve the draft version of the April 19, 2007 minutes up to the council's discretion. Somerville said the council could defer approval of the minutes until Tyler received a draft copy to review.

A motion was made to defer approval of the April 19, 2007 minutes.

VOTE: ALL IN FAVOR.

III. BUSINESS

A. DRAFT BURIAL TREATMENT PLAN, SITES 25849, 25850, 25854, 25855 AND 25856, HOKULIA SUBDIVISION, LANDS OF KANAUEUE AND HOKUKANO, NORTH KONA DISTRICT, ISLAND OF HAWAII, TMK: (3) 8-1-4:71, 8-1-32:48 AND 8-1-34:08

Information/Recommendation: Discussion of draft burial treatment plan.

Alan Haun (Haun) of Haun and Associates introduced himself and said his archaeological firm prepared the burial treatment plan (BTP) for 1250 Oceanside Partners in conjunction with the developers for the Hokulia subdivision. Haun said previous archaeological work led to the identification of 8 confirmed burials and 14 probable burial features of 5 sites. The burials are all believed to be pre-contact native Hawaiians. Burial features were documented during mapping and test excavations. Consultation packets were prepared and mailed to 123 recognized cultural and lineal descendants. 28 descendants provided comments which were included on Table 1 at the end of the subject BTP. The general consensus was to preserve the burials in place and informing future lot owners of the responsibility of caring and protecting burials which may be on a lot owner's property. Maintenance, buffers, access and recordation with the bureau of conveyances were all concerns addressed within the BTP.

Haun said site 25849 and 25850 were located in the seaward portion of residential lot 8 depicted in figure 2 on page 3 of the BTP. Site 25854 was situated in the seaward portion of residential lot 71 and partially on the adjacent parcel where a *makai* clubhouse facility would be built. Site 25855 and 25856 were also located in the *makai* portion of the lot which would be the future site for the clubhouse facility. 20 feet preservation buffers were to be established around the perimeter of each burial features situated outside the shoreline park conservation district. No buffers were proposed within the shoreline park conservation area due to extensive protective measures already in place. A 30 foot homeowner's association easement was located along the seaward side of the residential lots. With the exception of periodic maintenance and cultural practices, no activities of any sort are to occur within the preservation buffers. The preservation areas are to be landscaped with appropriate native plants. The extent of the buffer boundaries would be delineated by a low rock wall.

Haun said 1250 Oceanside Partners would initially be responsible for the maintenance of the burial sites. Maintenance would eventually be the responsibility of the project's cultural site association. Long term preservation measures included recording easements into the deeds for subsequent properties with burials. Access would be provided to recognized cultural and lineal descendants.

A council member asked that plan view maps of the burial sites be provided for the HIBC.

A document was handed out.

Haun said the document depicted the relationship of the burials and buffers to other developments.

Byron Moku (Moku) explained the diagram handed out which included the location of the sites, buffers and the surrounding areas. The bottom half of the diagram was the

plan view and the upper half was the cross section which included the elevation of the burial sites.

In the third line under the subheading 25849 on page 5, Elarionoff wanted clarification on what the modified sinkhole was. Haun said geology defined a sinkhole as a topographical feature which usually resembled a circular collapsed cause by water seeping into a particular area. Haun said sinkholes in Hawaii were formed the same way but generally were referred to when existing lava cavities collapsed. Elarionoff wanted to know what cobbles were. Haun said cobbles were stones that ranged in diameter of 15-25 centimeters. Elarionoff wanted clarification of the last sentence of the next paragraph on page 5. Haun said all excavation ceases upon the discovery of human remains and SHPD is immediately notified, excavation resumes only after consultation and clearance with SHPD.

Ron Dela Cruz asked if any of the sites underwent previous archaeological work. Haun said all the sites were in areas that had been inadvertently affected by heavy equipment.

A council member recommended a 10 feet "no build" setback in addition to the 20 feet buffers for burial sites.

Tyler, a recognized descendant said his family resided in Hokukano. Tyler thanked Haun for providing him with a copy of the draft BTP. Tyler felt provisions for interim burial treatment has been declining. Tyler felt 20 feet buffers for burial sites were inadequate. Tyler felt the BTP lacked legal verbiage within the short and long term measures which would prevent similar problems that occurred in the past. Tyler said he had detailed language which he wanted included in the BTP and he would communicate the specific language to Haun and the Chair of the HIBC. If legal verbiage which served to protect burials became standardized language for BTPs, Tyler felt many problems which occurred repeatedly in the past could be greatly reduced. A 10 feet "no build" zone was also recommended in addition to the 20 feet buffer. Absolutely no construction is to occur in the "no build" zone.

Moku said Tyler's comments would be recorded and noted.

A council member wanted to know if a 20 feet buffer was standard protective measure for burial sites or if each burial site was evaluated independently. It was said for Hokulia 20 feet buffers were generally used and 20 feet buffers were usually the starting point. It was also mentioned there were burial sites with buffers much larger than 20 feet and much smaller than 20 feet.

A motion was made to close the subject agenda item.

VOTE: ALL IN FAVOR.

B. BURIAL TREATMENT PLAN, DEVELOPMENT PARCEL 27 KEAUKOU RESORT, LAND OF KAHALUU, NORTH KONA DISTRICT, ISLAND OF HAWAII, TMK: 7-8-10: POR 4 & 7-8-14: 56

Information/Recommendation: Discussion of burial treatment plan.

Haun said his firm created the BTP for the development of parcel 27 and parcel 26. Haun said he would correct the BTP to include parcel 26. The BTP covered both TMKs but burials were only located on TMK: 7-8-10:por 4 during archaeological inventory survey. No burials were located on TMK: 7-8-14:56. 6 confirmed burials, 21 probable burials and 14 sites were identified during archaeological work in the area seaward of parcel 26. The burials were all identified as pre-contact native Hawaiians. Public notice seeking descendants were published in West Hawaii Today and the Honolulu Advertiser. The Kahaluu *ahupuaa* was awarded to Victoria Kalamalu who had many *kuleana* awardees. The BTP was submitted to an individual identified through consultation and to three individuals that responded to public notification. Haun said the BTP had been discussed with the KRC cultural advisory committee which provided comments that had been incorporated into the plan. Members of a family that maintained a cemetery adjacent to the subject parcel were also consulted.

Haun said long term preservation measures included a 20 feet buffer for burial sites demarcated by a low rock wall. An additional 10 feet “no build” setback would surround the 20 feet buffer area. A total of 22 sites would be preserved. A separate preservation plan for non burial sites would be developed and submitted to SHPD for review. 9 of 22 burial sites were located within a larger preservation area. Haun said the parcel was around 44 acres in size and about 12 acres had been slated for preservation. No construction activities of any nature were to occur within the preservation areas and sites except for cultural practices and periodic maintenance. A temporary 50 feet buffer would be established around sites during construction phases. Maintenance and responsibility for sites would be the duty of the landowner. Long term preservation would be achieved through a restrictive covenant which would be incorporated into the deed for the property.

A council member wanted to know the distance between 11863 and 27903. Haun said there was a distance of 25-35 feet. The council member wanted to know if all the sites shown on a particular map had burials. Haun said the map depicted all the sites identified in the area and the burial sites had slightly larger numbers marked by an asterisk. On page 22 of the plan, the council member wanted to know what purpose members of the Kahulanu family served. Haun said the family resided on an adjacent property for generations and were consulted and invited to participate on the cultural advisory committee.

Elarionoff wanted to know how the probable burials would be treated.

Haun said normal archaeological procedures entailed identifying surface features. Certain forms of stone architecture such as small platforms or terraces too small to be [permanent habitation site] houses were generally classified as possible or probable

burials especially if the stone architecture were associated with other burials. The particular sites in question exhibited architectural features that were likely to be burials resulting in the sites being treated and classified as probable burials. The classification was also chosen as a precautionary measure. Confirmation of the probable burials would require archaeological testing which would create a fair amount of disturbance. If there was a high likelihood a site may be a burial, the archaeologist usually treated it as such. If a probable burial site was identified in an established preservation area, then testing to confirm the presence of a burial was not necessary since the site would be preserved.

Elarionoff wanted to know how an archaeologist differentiated between stone architecture created to protect or mark a burial from stone architecture of similar characteristics created for fun.

Haun said majority of the 21 probable burials were closely associated with residential sites and in an area with a high likelihood to contain burials.

Elarionoff wanted clarification on a sentence in the fourth line of the second paragraph on page one. Haun said the Hawaiian term would be *ahu*. Elarionoff wanted to know why a ranch stick was considered significant. Haun said rules required archaeologist to document things over 50 years of age. Haun said a recommendation to not preserve and not further document the ranch stick would probably be made. Based on the criteria for assessing significance, Haun said the ranch stick would only be significant for informational purposes.

On page 13, site 7876, Elarionoff wanted to know what a traditional Hawaiian artifact was. Haun said the term usually referred to artifacts that were pre-contact such as poi pounders, adzes, fishhooks and other things not made from western material such as metal or glass.

Elarionoff wanted to know why some sites were tested and others weren't. Haun said sites within the preservation area did not need to be tested because the sites would be preserved as is. Scattered sites outside of the preservation area warranted additional testing.

On page 24 of the BTP, the subheading regarding access, Elarionoff wanted to know if mutual agreements between the landowner and descendants to access sites would need to be requested orally or in writing. It was not known if the agreement would be granted orally or in writing. Elarionoff suggested agreements be in writing since properties often changed owners and oral agreements from one owner may not necessarily carry over to a new owner. On the same page, Elarionoff requested clarification on reference to using *kapa* to wrap *iwi*. Haun said the proposal was recommended through consultation with the cultural advisory committee. On the same page, Elarionoff wanted to know if a written copy of stewardship policies existed. Haun said the policies have not yet been written, but the HIBC would receive a copy when completed.

Elarionoff questioned the paragraph which referenced a family's duty to continue caring for remains in a cave. Elarionoff said younger generations often lacked interest in continuing to care for ancestral remains. Elarionoff wanted to know what would be done to address the issue. It was said the issue was the family's *kuleana*. A council member wanted to know if the family was caring for remains located in one or more than one cave. It was said the family would be responsible for burials located in more than one cave.

A council member wanted to know who would care and maintain sites on unsold lots to which Haun said the issue was currently being worked on.

Hanoa wanted to know why the burials would be the developer's *kuleana*. It was said that the developer assumed responsibility for the burials in the absences of recognized descendants.

A council member wanted to know if any lineal descendants were identified during public notification of the burials. Haun said only one recognized cultural descendant came forward.

Tyler said he was a recognized descendant who had not been consulted. There were 19-21 other descendants recognized by the council to burials in the subject area. Tyler said he did not get a chance to review the BTP and would obtain a copy from Haun. Tyler wanted to know if the 45 clock had started. Rodrigues said the 45 clock started when a BTP is placed on an agenda for determination. Since the BTP was placed on the agenda for information/recommendation, the 45 day clock had not started. Tyler was appalled if SHPD only identified one individual as a recognized descendant to the area when there were 19-21 recognized descendants. Tyler wanted to know why SHPD supplied Haun with only one descendant. Tyler requested the council recommend Haun contact other recognized descendants. If contact information for other descendants could not be obtained from SHPD, Tyler said he would provide the information. Tyler thought the plan may be too open ended. Tyler also thought Kamehameha School needed to take more of an active role in their *kuleana* for the burials. Tyler also thought agreements needed to be in writing and incorporated into deeds to ensure protection in perpetuity. Tyler thought recognized descendants should be consulted first in addition to consultation with the cultural advisory committee.

On page 6 of the BTP, a council member asked if the area depicted currently had plans to be developed. Haun said the area was zoned for multifamily construction but there were currently no conceptual plans available.

A council member wanted to know if Haun was aware of the point Tyler made that there was much more than one descendant which needed to be consulted. Haun said he was just made aware of that fact. The council member requested a list of other descendants be provided to the HIBC at the next meeting. The council member also agreed with

Tyler that it was a good idea to have recognized descendants on the cultural advisory committee.

A council member wanted to know if an educational program to inform students of Kamehameha and others about sites and preservation would be developed. A representative for the developer said part of the educational program had already started to be developed.

A motion was made to close the agenda item.

VOTE: ALL IN FAVOR.

C. “SHORES AT KOHANAIKI PROJECT,” KOHANAIKI AHUPUAA, NORTH KONA DISTRICT, HAWAII ISLAND, TMK: (3) 7-3-9:16

Information/Discussion: Project update.

Kaimi Judd (Judd) introduced herself and said she represented the lineal descendants of Kohanaiki. Joe Root (Root) introduced himself and said he represented the developers. Judd requested to be on the HIBC agenda for each regularly scheduled meeting. Judd said descendants met with the developers monthly to consult on the best ways to culturally give back to the Kohanaiki area. The developers were aware of requests that would be made to the council. A request to preserve all *iwi* in place was made. Judd said descendants were aware a determination to relocate a burial had been made at a previous HIBC meeting. The descendants had consulted with the developers and obtained an agreement to preserve the burial in place. A request was made to withdraw a previous motion recommending relocating a burial. There were several caves which needed to be enclosed.

Root said a detailed BTP would be developed and presented to the council at a future meeting. Today’s presentation was an overview of interim measures that were taken in consultation with the descendants pertaining to the treatment of burials while allowing construction to continue. Buffers were currently established for the sites. *A map was circulated.* The site shown on the map was originally slated for relocation but an agreement to preserve the site in place was reached. A buffer was established for the site and the cave was sealed. The descendants conducted the ceremony and the developer was committed to continue working with descendants on future issues.

A council member wanted to know what was proposed for the area.

Root said the area was proposed for residential development. Root said easement and access issues were not currently established and those issues would be developed in consultation with descendants.

A council member wanted to know if beach access would be provided to the public to which Root answered yes. Root said 121 parking spaces as well as designated

camping areas were also proposed. Judd said the entire project would always be accessible for *kupunas*.

A council member wanted to know if vehicular access would also be provided in addition to pedestrian access. Root said vehicular access would be provided up to a certain point. Root suggested discussing details for vehicular access at a future meeting.

A council member asked Judd if she knew where the location of the proposed parking lot would be to which she answered yes. Root identified the location of the parking lot and proposed access roads on a map. Root said an effort was being made to protect resources. The council member wanted to know who would be responsible for maintenance. Root said maintenance would be a joint effort between the county, state, community and property owner.

Dela Cruz wanted to know who specifically from the community would participate with maintenance. Root said volunteer organizations.

Kualii said no motions or determinations could be made because he would need to recuse himself due to his professional affiliation to the Kohanaiki project and the HIBC would lose quorum. Kualii mentioned the access road from Kaahumanu Highway did not run presently run through Kohanaiki. Access to the property was through Kowailoli.

Cynthia Nazara (Nazara) suggested visiting the site.

A council member wanted to know if the proposed residential homes would be affordable for local families to which Root answered no. The council member was saddened because she felt homes were too expensive for *kamaainas*.

A council member said no determinations could be made for the subject item because the item was not on the agenda for a determination.

Judd said the item was simply an update for the HIBC. There were difficulties with time constraints because the HIBC only met monthly. Often there were times when projects at Kohanaiki were put on hold because subsequent approvals from the council were needed. Descendants and other participants involved with the Kohanaiki project were satisfied with the working relationship they had with the developers. The descendants, thus far have been able to achieve their cultural responsibilities.

Elarionoff said future requests which required a decision from the council needed to be placed on the agenda.

Elarionoff called a brief recess. Elarionoff reconvened the meeting.

Because no motions could be made, Judd reiterated some of the requests she wanted the HIBC to approve at a future meeting. Approve preservation of a ridge and sealing of

caves. A letter written by SHPD administrator, Melanie Chinen (Chinen) was given to Rodrigues. The letter expressed SHPD's concurrence to seal the caves. An approval would be sought to permit all caves to be sealed at once instead of individually. A four year plan was being developed which would include construction of a cultural center in Kohanaiki.

A council member wanted to receive HIBC meeting packets earlier. The council member wanted to know what assurances the HIBC had that deferred items would be placed on the following meeting's agenda. If the council member had known item D of last month's agenda would not be placed on this month's agenda, the council member would have strongly advocated for item D to be placed on this month's agenda.

Rodrigues said the time frame for requests to be placed on an agenda ends two weeks prior to a scheduled HIBC meeting. An agenda is created from the requests received and sent to the chair of the council for approval. Upon approval from the chair, the agenda is sent to SHPD's Oahu office. Packets for burial council meetings can't be mailed out until the agenda is approved and posted. The law requires agendas be posted six days prior to a scheduled burials council meeting. Since packets could not be mailed out until an agenda is posted, that was the reason for the short amount of time council members had to review the contents of a packet. Rodrigues thought part of the process was flawed.

Kualii wanted to know why agendas which had been approved by the chair often were different upon approval and posting by SHPD. Kualii said he was aware that business conducted on Maui at times are different from the way business was conducted on Hawaii Island. *Kualii requested the item deferred at the last HIBC meeting which was not placed on this month's agenda be placed on next month's HIBC meeting agenda.* Kualii said the agenda item was important and needed to be discussed.

Rodrigues said he did not like comparing the way things were done on Maui to the way things were done on Hawaii. Rodrigues said the chair on Maui was very accessible and together they are able to work on the agenda for a few days until the agenda is perfect. Rodrigues said he did not have the same luxury on Hawaii Island. Rodrigues acknowledged the council member's concern about agendas approved by the chair being changed subsequent to being submitted to SHPD on Oahu.

Nazara recommended a site visit be conducted at Kohanaiki before the next HIBC meeting. Nazara wanted to know what protective measures insured the caves, upon being sealed, would not be impacted or damaged in the future. Judd said there would be buffers. Nazara asked if homes would be built on the caves to which Judd and Root answered no. Nazara did not want any cesspools installed near any of the caves. Nazara reiterated her request that a site visit be conducted at Kohanaiki prior to the next HIBC meeting.

Somerville said the site visit needed to be placed on the agenda. Rodrigues said the requested site visit had been noted.

Tyler said the council could make a motion and simply needed the majority of the members present to vote on an issue.

Somerville asked if a motion was on the floor to which Elarionoff said no because he had not recognized any motion. Somerville said no motion was on the floor based on the statement by Elarionoff.

Elarionoff wanted to know what needed to be done to conduct a site visit at Kohanaiki. Somerville said the request needed to be placed on the agenda for the next HIBC meeting. The council agreed to conduct a site visit at Kohanaiki at 8:30am prior to starting August's HIBC meeting. Rodrigues said he would work with Judd and Root to work out other details and requests to be placed on the next HIBC meeting agenda.

Nazara asked Rodrigues if he would make the site visit and attend next month's HIBC meeting. Rodrigues said he served on the general plan advisory committee and had a 5:00pm meeting scheduled for the same day as the HIBC meeting. Rodrigues did not think he would be able to attend the HIBC meeting unless arrangements could be made to get him back on Maui by 4:15pm. The council wanted to know who from SHPD would attend the meeting if Rodrigues was unable to make it. Rodrigues was not sure. A council member requested Chinen and her secretary attend next month's meeting if Rodrigues was unable to make it.

A motion to close the agenda item was made.

VOTE: ALL IN FAVOR.

D. CULTURAL DESCENDANCY RECOGNITION TO UNIDENTIFIED NATIVE HAWAIIAN ANCESTRAL REMAINS FOUND ON THE LANDS OF KANAUEUE, HOKUKANO AND HONUAINO IN THE DISTRICT OF NORTH KONA; AND ON THE LANDS OF ONOULI, KALUKALU, ILIKAHI, KEEKEE AND HALEKII IN THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH KONA, ISLAND OF HAWAII, TMKS: (7-9-12:004, 7-9-12:011, 8-1-4:003 & 8-1-4:056)

Information/Recommendation: Staff recommendation to burial council to recognize cultural descendancy of certain listed persons.

Rodrigues said he received an email from Chinen yesterday evening requesting the agenda item be deferred.

The chair recognized Rodrigues request and said the item would be deferred.

E. LINEAL DESCENDANCY RECOGNITION TO KNOWN BURIALS AT ONEULA WITHIN THE PRESENT HOKULIA PROJECT AREA.

Recommendation: Staff recommendation to burial council to recognize lineal descendancy of certain listed persons.

Rodrigues said he received an email from Chinen yesterday evening requesting the agenda item be deferred.

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS

Kualii said he did not receive any contents in his HIBC packets for agenda items D and E. Kualii thought he did not receive any contents for agenda items D and E because the items had been deferred. No council members acknowledged receiving any letters for agenda items D and E.

A council member requested the house on Kuukaloka be placed on next month's HIBC agenda. Rodrigues said he was not familiar with the issue and asked that the specific request be worked out with the HIBC chair, Charles Young (Young). Rodrigues said he would get the information from Young.

Kualii reiterated his concern about the process for approving agendas. Kualii suggested Rodrigues work with other council members in addition to working with the chair to ensure the HIBC would get the agenda they wanted. Rodrigues agreed with Kualii.

IV. ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made to adjourn the meeting.

VOTE: ALL IN FAVOR.